

Message

From: Cathy Helgerson [cathyhelger@gmail.com]
Sent: 2/21/2020 10:38:35 PM
To: FRYHOUSE@EARTHLINK.NET [FRYHOUSE@earthlink.net]; John Marvin [jmarvin@baaqmd.gov]; Jon Robert Willey [jwilley@cupertino.org]; Deborah L. Feng [DebF@cupertino.org]; Roger Lee [RogerL@cupertino.org]; Whalin, Lindsay@Waterboards [Lindsay.Whalin@waterboards.ca.gov]; Loquist, Kristina [Kristina.Loquist@bos.sccgov.org]; Supervisor Joe Simitian [supervisor.simitian@bos.sccgov.org]; Salisbury, Robert [robert.salisbury@pln.sccgov.org]; Miller, Amy [Miller.Amy@epa.gov]; Paula Wallis [wallis.notoxicair@gmail.com]; McCann, Lisa@Waterboards [lisa.mccann@waterboards.ca.gov]
Subject: Fwd: Air District - Stationary Source Committee Meeting 2/26/2020
Attachments: BAAQMD ssc_agenda_02262020 pdf.pdf

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Bay Area Air Quality Management District <webmaster@baaqmd.gov>
Date: Fri, Feb 21, 2020 at 12:07 PM
Subject: Air District - Stationary Source Committee Meeting 2/26/2020
To: <cathyhelger@gmail.com>

Hello All,

Please open attachment regarding the Stationary Source Committee Meeting 2/26/202 pages 8, 12, and 13 regarding Regulation 11 Rule 18 this Regulation and Rule are supposed to be part of imposing stronger regulations on about 200 companies, to begin with, but I was told there are about 2,000 companies that need stronger regulations. I have been asking the BAAQMD about this Reg. and Rule from the first of its creation I think it has been 3 years and counting still no stronger regulations have been implemented. I also asked for a list of the 200 companies and I have that Lehigh is on the list but nothing has been implemented to this date my question why has it taken so long? I am concerned that now they want to push things through but I am very concerned about the Health Risk Assessments for Lehigh and I do not want that pushed through so please take the time to do all you can to concern yourselves with the HRA our lives depend on it.

Lehigh page 8 item 4 Regarding Implementation of Regulation 11, Rule 18 (Rule 11-18) Reduction of Risk From Air Toxic Emissions at Existing Facilities. Public comments were given but they are not on this paperwork and I do not know why can anyone tell me?

Committee Comments: They address HRA's for Title V facilities and Lehigh Cement Quarry is referenced it states a timeline could be shortened; potential impediments to expedited schedules; the interest in a rule amendment to accelerate the timeline. There is also a request for a chart of emissions reductions that have resulted so far. I believe that the public needs to look at the permits especially if they attend the meeting.

Page 12 Agenda 5 Read Discussion Lehigh is listed as facility 3

Page 13 Listed as Lehigh Southwest Company - two separate projects this is a must-read my question is where are the permits applications for the installations at the Rock Plant that Lehigh proposes to install new portable aggregate processing equipment until refurbishment of the permanent equipment is completed. In addition, Lehigh operates a conveyor system that suffered structural failure. The system conveys material between two critical equipment, a clinker and kiln mill, that is required for the facility to operate. Lehigh proposes to install and operate a temporary conveyor system while the permanent system is repaired.

Question where is the permits for all this and why is the public not aware of this?

The paperwork mentions the Consent Decree that the EPA issued to Lehigh 14 facilities and this NOV - Notice of Violation took 10 years in the process I know because I keep calling and calling and the EPA kept telling me that it was under investigation and there was no statute of limitation on the NOV. Please note here this should never have taken 10 years and it seems no one wants to hear it took 10 years and that it was based on an NOV.

It is time that the BAAQMD installed a Televidio Audio Conferencing system that would be connected to the City of Cupertino the public could address the BAAQMD Boards and Committees without having to drive to SF this would save trip time, pollution from cars on the road, & parking problems. The public is busy during the week with work, taking care of families and the amount of time that is needed to drive and attend meetings is too long so many people can not attend. I am also a senior 70 years old and have diabetes, asthma, and I have had cancer twice it is hard for me to drive to SF and then there is a parking problem so I can not make the trips that are constantly needed to attend meetings. Please see what the BAAQMD Board and IT folks can work out between the City of Cupertino and even other Cities that may want to join in.

Please present this e-mail message to the Board for their review and other parties that are involved. Look at my attachment below.

I hope you will all take the time to look at this paperwork and possibly attend the meeting and voice your concerns. I would like someone to take the time and give an answer to my questions.

Thanks,
Cathy Helgerson - Environmental Advocate
CAP-Citizens Against Pollution
408-253-0490

Dear Subscriber:

The Bay Area Air Quality Management District's Stationary Source Committee will meet on Wednesday, February 26, 2020.

The meeting agenda may be found at:

www.baaqmd.gov/bodagendas

If you wish to be removed from our list please follow the link below.


Thank you.

BAAQMD, 375 Beale Street, Suite 600, San Francisco, CA 94105

[SafeUnsubscribe™ cathyhelger@gmail.com](mailto:SafeUnsubscribe%20cathyhelger@gmail.com)

[Forward email \(Please use this link if you wish to forward this email\)](#) | [Update Profile](#) | [About our service provider](#)

Sent by webmaster@baagmd.gov in collaboration with



Try email marketing for free today!